arXiv:0905.4051v2 [math.SP] 26 May 2009

CONSTRUCTIVE PERTURBATION THEORY FOR MATRICES WITH DEGENERATE EIGENVALUES*

AARON WELTERS†

Abstract. Let $A(\varepsilon)$ be an analytic square matrix and λ_0 an eigenvalue of $A(0)$ of multiplicity $m \geq 1$. Then under the generic condition, $\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))\,|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)} \neq 0$, we prove that the Jordan normal form of $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 consists of a single $m \times m$ Jordan block, the perturbed eigenvalues near λ_0 and their eigenvectors can be represented by a single convergent Puiseux series containing only powers of $\varepsilon^{1/m}$, and there are explicit recursive formulas to compute all the Puiseux series coefficients from just the derivatives of $A(\varepsilon)$ at the origin. Using these recursive formulas we calculate the series coefficients up to the second order and list them for quick reference. This paper gives, under a generic condition, explicit recursive formulas to compute the perturbed eigenvalues and eigenvectors for non-selfadjoint analytic perturbations of matrices with degenerate eigenvalues.

Key words. Matrix Perturbation Theory, Degenerate Eigenvalues, Perturbation of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors, Puiseux Series, Recursive Formula, Characteristic Polynomial, Jordan Block

AMS subject classification. 15A15, 15A18, 15A21, 41A58, 47A55, 47A56, 65F15, 65F40

1. Introduction.

Consider an analytic square matrix $A(\varepsilon)$ and its unperturbed matrix $A(0)$ with a degenerate eigenvalue λ_0. A fundamental problem in the analytic perturbation theory of non-selfadjoint matrices is the determination of the perturbed eigenvalues near λ_0 along with their corresponding eigenvectors of the matrix $A(\varepsilon)$ near $\varepsilon = 0$. More specifically, let $A(\varepsilon)$ be a matrix-valued function having a range in $\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$, the set of $n\times n$ matrices with complex entries, such that its matrix elements are analytic functions of ε in a neighborhood of the origin. Let λ_0 be an eigenvalue of the matrix $A(0)$ with algebraic multiplicity $m \geq 1$(which may be degenerate, i.e., $m > 1$). Then in this situation, it is well known [1, §6.1.7], [2, §II.1.2] that for sufficiently small ε all the perturbed eigenvalues near λ_0, called the λ_0-group, and their corresponding eigenvectors may be represented as a collection of convergent Puiseux series, i.e., Taylor series in a fractional power of ε. What is not well known, however, is how we compute these Puiseux series when $A(\varepsilon)$ is a non-selfadjoint analytic perturbation and λ_0 is a degenerate eigenvalue of $A(0)$. There are sources on the subject like [4], [5], [6], [1, §7.4], and [7, §32], but it was found that there lacked explicit formulas, recursive or otherwise, to compute the series coefficients beyond the first order terms. Thus, the fundamental problem that this paper addresses is actually two-fold. First, find a method to determine how many Puiseux series there are that represent the λ_0-group and their eigenvectors along with the fractional power of ε that is associated with each. And second, find explicit recursive formulas to compute all the series coefficients.

Main Results. In this paper we will show that under the generic condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))\,\Big|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)} \neq 0, \tag{1.1}$$

the fundamental problems mentioned above can be solved. In particular, we prove Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1 which together state that when this condition is true

---

[*] This work was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under grant #FA9550-08-1-0103.

[†] Dept. of Mathematics, Univ. of California at Irvine, Irvine CA 92617 (`awelters@math.uci.edu`).

then the Jordan normal form of $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 consists of a single $m \times m$ Jordan block and for ε sufficiently small there is exactly one Puiseux series for the λ_0-group and one for their corresponding eigenvectors both of which contain only powers of $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}$. Theorem 3.1 further goes on to state that the λ_0-group and their corresponding eigenvectors can be represented by a single convergent series of the form

$$\lambda_h(\varepsilon) = \lambda_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \left(\zeta^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k$$

$$x_h(\varepsilon) = x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \left(\zeta^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k$$

for $h = 0, ..., m-1$ where $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi}{m}i}$, $\lambda_1 \neq 0$, and x_0 is an eigenvector of $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0. More importantly though, this theorem gives explicit recursive formulas that allows us to determine the λ_k's and x_k's from just the derivatives of $A(\varepsilon)$ at $\varepsilon = 0$. Using these recursive formulas, we compute the leading Puiseux series coefficients up to the second order and list them in Corollary 3.3.

The results of this paper depend essentially on the assumption that the generic condition (1.1) is true. In theory or practice, however, it may not be a straightforward task to show its true. In order to deal with this issue we provide Theorem 2.1. This theorem gives alternative statements that are equivalent to the generic condition (1.1).

The key to all of our results is the study of the characteristic equation for the analytic matrix $A(\varepsilon)$ under the generic condition (1.1). By an application of the implicit function theorem, we are able to derive the functional relation between the eigenvalues and the perturbation parameter. This leads to the implication that the Jordan normal form of the unperturbed matrix $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 is a single $m \times m$ Jordan block. From this, we are able to use the method of undetermined coefficients to get explicit recursive formulas for determining the Puiseux series coefficients.

We want to take a moment here to show how the results of this paper can be used to determine the Puiseux series coefficients up to the second order for the degenerate case $m \geq 2$. To begin we may assume, because of Theorem 2.1, that the Jordan normal form of the unperturbed matrix $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 consists of a single $m \times m$ Jordan block. This implies we may put $A(0)$ into the Jordan normal form [3, §6.5: The Jordan Theorem]

$$U^{-1} A(0) U = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} J_m(\lambda_0) & \\ \hline & W_0 \end{array}\right], \tag{1.2}$$

where (see notations at end of §1) $J_m(\lambda_0)$ is an $m \times m$ Jordan block corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 and W_0 is the Jordan normal form for the rest of the spectrum. We next define the vectors $u_1, \ldots, u_m$, as the first m columns of the matrix U,

$$u_i := Ue_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq m \tag{1.3}$$

(These vectors have the properties that u_1 is an eigenvector of $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0, they form a Jordan chain with generator u_m, and are a basis for the algebraic eigenspace of $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0). Next we partition the matrix $U^{-1}A'(0)U$ conformally to the blocks $J_m(\lambda_0)$ and W_0 of the matrix $U^{-1}A\left(0\right)U$ as such

$$U^{-1}A^{'}(0)U = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc|ccc} * & * & * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \\ a_{m-1,1} & * & * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \\ a_{m,1} & a_{m,2} & * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \\ \hline * & * & * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & \cdots & * & * & \cdots & * \end{array}\right]. \tag{1.4}$$

Then it follows from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1 that

$$a_{m,1} = -\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\det\left(\lambda I - A\left(\varepsilon\right)\right)|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^m}{\partial\lambda^m}\det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{m!}\right)}. \tag{1.5}$$

And thus the generic condition is true if and only if $a_{m,1} \neq 0$. This gives us an alternative method to determine whether the generic condition (1.1) is true or not.

Lets now assume that $a_{m,1} \neq 0$ and hence that the generic condition is true. Define $f\left(\varepsilon,\lambda\right) := \det\left(\lambda I - A\left(\varepsilon\right)\right)$. Then by Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.3 there is exactly one convergent Puiseux series for the perturbed eigenvalues near λ_0 and for their corresponding eigenvectors given by

$$\lambda_h\left(\varepsilon\right) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1\left(\zeta^h\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right) + \lambda_2\left(\zeta^h\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty}\lambda_k\left(\zeta^h\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k \tag{1.6}$$

$$x_h\left(\varepsilon\right) = x_0 + x_1\left(\zeta^h\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right) + x_2\left(\zeta^h\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty}x_k\left(\zeta^h\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k \tag{1.7}$$

for $h = 0, ..., m-1$, where $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi}{m}i}$. Furthermore, the series coefficients up to second order may be given by

$$\lambda_1 = a_{m,1}^{1/m} = \left(-\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial\varepsilon}\left(0,\lambda_0\right)}{\frac{1}{m!}\frac{\partial^m f}{\partial\lambda^m}\left(0,\lambda_0\right)}\right)^{1/m} \neq 0, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{a_{m-1,1} + a_{m,2}}{m\lambda_1^{m-2}} = \frac{-\left(\lambda_1^{m+1}\frac{1}{(m+1)!}\frac{\partial^{m+1}f}{\partial\lambda^{m+1}}\left(0,\lambda_0\right) + \lambda_1\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\lambda\partial\varepsilon}\left(0,\lambda_0\right)\right)}{m\lambda_1^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{m!}\frac{\partial^m f}{\partial\lambda^m}\left(0,\lambda_0\right)\right)}, \tag{1.9}$$

$$x_0 = u_1,\ x_1 = \lambda_1 u_2, \tag{1.10}$$

$$x_2 = \left\{\begin{array}{c} -\Lambda A^{'}\left(0\right)u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2, \text{ if } m = 2 \\ \lambda_2 u_2 + \lambda_1^2 u_3, \text{ if } m > 2 \end{array}\right\} \tag{1.11}$$

for any choice of the mth root of $a_{m,1}$ and where Λ is given in (3.3).

The explicit recursive formulas for computing higher order terms, λ_k and x_k, are given in Theorem 3.1 using (3.12) and (3.13).

Example. The following example may help to give a better idea of these results. Consider

$$A\left(\varepsilon\right) := \left[\begin{array}{ccc} -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right] + \varepsilon\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]. \tag{1.12}$$

Here $\lambda_0 = 0$ is an eigenvalue of $A(0)$ of algebraic multiplicity $m = 2$. We put $A(0)$ into the Jordan normal form

$$U^{-1}A(0)U = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{array}\right], U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, U^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

so that $W_0 = 1/2$. We next define the vectors u_1, u_2, as the first two columns of the matrix U,

$$u_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, u_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next we partition the matrix $U^{-1}A^{'}(0)U$ conformally to the blocks $J_m(\lambda_0)$ and W_0 of the matrix $U^{-1}A(0)U$ as such

$$U^{-1}A^{'}(0)U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & * & * \\ 1 & 1 & * \\ \hline * & * & * \end{array}\right].$$

Here $a_{2,1} = 1$, $a_{1,1} = 0$, and $a_{2,2} = 1$. Then

$$1 = a_{2,1} = -\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))\,|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\lambda^2}\det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{2!}\right)},$$

implying that the generic condition (1.1) is true. Define $f(\varepsilon, \lambda) := \det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon)) = \lambda^3 - 2\lambda^2\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + \lambda\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$. Then there is exactly one convergent Puiseux series for the perturbed eigenvalues near $\lambda_0 = 0$ and for their corresponding eigenvectors given by

$$\lambda_h(\varepsilon) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1\left((-1)^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) + \lambda_2\left((-1)^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \lambda_k \left((-1)^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^k$$

$$x_h(\varepsilon) = x_0 + x_1\left((-1)^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) + x_2\left((-1)^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} x_k \left((-1)^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^k$$

for $h = 0, 1$. Furthermore, the series coefficients up to second order may be given by

$$\lambda_1 = 1 = \sqrt{1} = \sqrt{a_{2,1}} = \sqrt{\left(-\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial\varepsilon}(0,\lambda_0)}{\frac{1}{2!}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\lambda^2}(0,\lambda_0)}\right)} \neq 0,$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{a_{1,1} + a_{2,2}}{2} = \frac{-\left(\lambda_1^3 \frac{1}{3!}\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial\lambda^3}(0,\lambda_0) + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\lambda\partial\varepsilon}(0,\lambda_0)\right)}{\lambda_1\left(\frac{1}{2!}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\lambda^2}(0,\lambda_0)\right)},$$

$$x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$x_2 = -\Lambda A^{'}(0)u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2$$

by choosing the positive square root of $a_{2,1} = 1$ and where Λ is given in (3.3). Here

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda &= U \left[\begin{array}{c|c} J_m(0)^* & \\ \hline & (W_0 - \lambda_0 I_{n-m})^{-1} \end{array}\right] U^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & (1/2)^{-1} \end{array}\right] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & -2 \\ 3 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= -\Lambda A^{'}(0)\, u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 \\ &= -\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & -2 \\ 3 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Now compare this to the actual perturbed eigenvalues of our example (1.12) near $\lambda_0 = 0$ and their corresponding eigenvectors

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_h(\varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + (-1)^h \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} (\varepsilon + 4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left((-1)^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left((-1)^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \lambda_k \left((-1)^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^k \\ x_h(\varepsilon) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda_h(\varepsilon) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \left((-1)^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \left((-1)^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} x_k \left((-1)^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^k \end{aligned}$$

for $h = 0, 1$. We see that indeed our formulas for the Puiseux series coefficients are correct up to the second order.

Comparison to Known Results. The example above illustrates the broader goal of this paper, to help bridge the gap between the theory and computability in determining the perturbed eigenvalues and eigenvectors for analytic perturbations of non-selfadjoint matrices. The most relevant papers [4], [5], [6] and books [1, §7.4], [7, §32] do not bridge this gap completely. For instance, the paper [6] addresses linear perturbations and gives theorems [6, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2] more general then ours under which one may compute the leading exponents and leading coefficients for both the eigenvalue and eigenvector perturbations. But unfortunately, like the other references, when it comes to computing higher order terms these sources either do not address the issue or do not address it thoroughly enough. For example, of all the works mentioned only the work of Trenogin and Vainberg [7, §32 pp. 413-418] addresses the issue of higher order terms for analytic perturbations. But their work on the determination of the higher order terms does not lead to explicit recursive formulas like those we give in Theorem 3.1 §3 of this paper. Furthermore, the formula for the second order term [7, §32 p. 417] and the implicit recursive formulas for the higher order terms [7, §32 p. 418] of the perturbed eigenvalues contain misprints. In our paper, Theorem 3.1 gives explicit recursive formulas for all the higher order terms and Corollary 3.3 gives correct formulas for the leading terms up to the second order. These results are achieved by following a method more similar to Vishik and Lyusternik [4, Appendix I].

Overview. Section 2 deals with the generic condition (1.1). We derive conditions that are equivalent the generic condition in Theorem 2.1. In §3 we give the main results of this paper in Theorem 3.1, on the determination of the Puiseux series with the recursive formulas for calculating the series coefficients. As a corollary we give explicitly the leading Puiseux series coefficients up to the second order. Section 4 contains the proofs of the results in §2 and §3.

Notation. Let $\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ be the set of all $n\times n$ matrices with complex entries and $\mathbb{C}^{n\times 1}$ the set of all $n\times 1$ column vectors with complex entries. For $a\in\mathbb{C}$, $A\in\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$, and $x=[a_{i,1}]_{i=1}^{n}\in\mathbb{C}^{n\times 1}$ we denote by a^{*}, A^{*}, and x^{*}, the complex conjugate of a, the conjugate transpose of A, and the $1\times n$ row vector $x^{*}:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc} a_{1,1}^{*} & \cdots & a_{n,1}^{*}\end{array}\right]$. For x, $y\in\mathbb{C}^{n\times 1}$ we let $(x,y):=x^{*}y$ be the standard inner product. The matrix $I\in\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is the identity matrix and its jth column is $e_j\in\mathbb{C}^{n\times 1}$. The matrix I_{n-m} is the $(n-m)\times(n-m)$ identity matrix. Define an $m\times m$ Jordan block with eigenvalue λ to be

$$J_m(\lambda):=\begin{bmatrix}\lambda & 1 & & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & 1\\ & & & & \lambda\end{bmatrix}.$$

When the matrix $A(\varepsilon)\in\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is analytic near $\varepsilon=0$ we define $A_k:=\frac{1}{k!}A^{(k)}(0)$. Let $\zeta:=e^{i\frac{2\pi}{m}}$.

2. The Generic Condition.

The following theorem, which is proved in §4, gives conditions which are equivalent to the generic one (1.1).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A(\varepsilon)$ be a matrix-valued function having a range in $\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ such that its matrix elements are analytic functions of ε in a neighborhood of the origin. Let λ_0 be an eigenvalue of the unperturbed matrix $A(0)$ and denote by m its algebraic multiplicity. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *The characteristic polynomial* $\det(\lambda I-A(\varepsilon))$ *has a simple zero with respect to ε at $\lambda=\lambda_0$ and $\varepsilon=0$, i.e.,*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\det(\lambda I-A(\varepsilon))\big|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}\neq 0.$$

(ii) *The characteristic equation, $\det(\lambda I-A(\varepsilon))=0$, has a unique solution, $\varepsilon(\lambda)$, in a neighborhood of $\lambda=\lambda_0$ with $\varepsilon(\lambda_0)=0$. This solution is an analytic function with a zero of order m at $\lambda=\lambda_0$, i.e.,*

$$\frac{d^{0}\varepsilon(\lambda)}{d\lambda^{0}}\Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}=\cdots=\frac{d^{m-1}\varepsilon(\lambda)}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}=0,\ \frac{d^{m}\varepsilon(\lambda)}{d\lambda^{m}}\Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}\neq 0.$$

(iii) *There exists a convergent Puiseux series*

$$\lambda_h(\varepsilon)=\lambda_0+\lambda_1\zeta^{h}\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\lambda_k\left(\zeta^{h}\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^{k},\ h=0,...,m-1,$$

where $\zeta=e^{\frac{2\pi}{m}i}$, which gives all the solutions of the characteristic equation, for sufficiently small ε and λ sufficiently near λ_0. Furthermore, the first order term is nonzero, i.e.,

$$\lambda_1\neq 0.$$

(iv) *The Jordan normal form of* $A(0)$ *corresponding to the eigenvalue* λ_0 *consists of a single* $m\times m$ *Jordan block and there exists an eigenvector* u_0 *of* $A(0)$ *corresponding to the eigenvalue* λ_0 *and an eigenvector* v_0 *of* $A(0)^*$ *corresponding to the eigenvalue* λ_0^* *such that*

$$\left(v_0, A^{'}(0)\,u_0\right) \neq 0.$$

Remark 2.2. *Under the same hypotheses, the statement that* $(\lambda_0 I - A(\varepsilon))^{-1}$ *has a simple pole at* $\varepsilon = 0$ *and the eigenvalue* λ_0 *has geometric multiplicity one, is also equivalent to those above in Theorem 2.1. Unfortunately, the proof of this lies outside the scope of this paper so we can only hint at why it is true. Basically, the statement given at the beginning of this remark or those given in Theorem 2.1 are both equivalent to the local Smith form [8, p. 394] of the matrix* $\lambda_0 I - A(\varepsilon)$ *at* $\varepsilon = 0$ *being*

$$\lambda_0 I - A(\varepsilon) = E(\varepsilon)\, diag(\varepsilon, 1, ..., 1) F(\varepsilon)$$

where $E(\varepsilon)$, $F(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ *are analytic and invertible matrices in a neighborhood of the origin.*

3. Determination of the Puiseux Series and the Recursive Formulas for Calculating the Series. This section contains the main results of this paper presented below in Theorem 3.1. To begin we give some preliminaries that needed to set up the theorem. Suppose that $A(\varepsilon)$ is a matrix-valued function having a range in $\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ with matrix elements that are analytic functions of ε in a neighborhood of the origin and λ_0 is an eigenvalue of the unperturbed matrix $A(0)$ with algebraic multiplicity m. Assume that the generic condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))\,\big|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)} \neq 0,$$

is true.

Now, by these assumptions, we may appeal to Theorem 2.1 (iv) and conclude that the Jordan canonical form of $A(0)$ has only one $m \times m$ Jordan block associated with λ_0. Hence there exists a invertible matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ such that

$$U^{-1} A(0)\, U = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} J_m(\lambda_0) & \\ \hline & W_0 \end{array}\right], \tag{3.1}$$

where W_0 is a $(n-m)\times(n-m)$ matrix such that λ_0 is not one of its eigenvalues [3, §6.5: The Jordan Theorem].

We define the vectors $u_1,\ldots, u_m, v_1,\ldots, v_m \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times 1}$ as the first m columns of the matrix U and $\left(U^{-1}\right)^*$, respectively, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &:= U e_i,\ 1 \le i \le m, \\ v_i &:= \left(U^{-1}\right)^* e_i,\ 1 \le i \le m. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

And define the matrix $\Lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ by

$$\Lambda := U \left[\begin{array}{c|c} J_m(0)^* & \\ \hline & (W_0 - \lambda_0 I_{n-m})^{-1} \end{array}\right] U^{-1}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $(W_0-\lambda_0 I_{n-m})^{-1}$ exists since λ_0 is not an eigenvalue of W_0(for more details on the vectors (3.2) and the matrix Λ see Appendix A).

Next, we introduce the polynomials $p_{j,i}=p_{j,i}\left(\lambda_1,\,\ldots,\,\lambda_{j-i+1}\right)$ in $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{j-i+1}$, for $j\geq i\geq 0$, as the expressions

$$p_{0,0}:=1,\; p_{j,0}:=0,\text{ for } j>0, \tag{3.4}$$

$$p_{j,i}\left(\lambda_1,\,\ldots,\,\lambda_{j-i+1}\right):=\sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_i=j\\ 1\leq s_\varrho\leq j-i+1}}\prod_{\varrho=1}^{i}\lambda_{s_\varrho},\text{ for } j\geq i>0,$$

and the polynomials $r_{k+l,k}=r_{k+l,k}(\lambda_1,\,\ldots,\,\lambda_l)$ in $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_l$, for $k,l\in\mathbb{N}$, as the expressions

$$r_{k+1,k}(\lambda_1):=0,\; r_{k+l,k}(\lambda_1,\,\ldots,\,\lambda_l):=\sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_k=k+l\\ 1\leq s_\varrho\leq l}}\prod_{\varrho=1}^{k}\lambda_{s_\varrho},\text{ for } l>1 \tag{3.5}$$

(for more details on these polynomials see Appendix B).

With these preliminaries we can now state the main results of this paper. Proofs of these results are contained in the next section.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A(\varepsilon)$ be a matrix-valued function having a range in $\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ such that its matrix elements are analytic functions of ε in a neighborhood of the origin. Let λ_0 be an eigenvalue of the unperturbed matrix $A(0)$ and denote by m its algebraic multiplicity. Suppose that the generic condition*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\det\left(\lambda I-A(\varepsilon)\right)|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}\neq 0, \tag{3.6}$$

is true. Then there is exactly one convergent Puiseux series for the λ_0-group and for their corresponding eigenvectors which may be given by

$$\lambda_h(\varepsilon)=\lambda_0+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k\left(\zeta^h\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k \tag{3.7}$$

$$x_h(\varepsilon)=x_0+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}x_k\left(\zeta^h\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k \tag{3.8}$$

for $h=0,...,m-1$, where $\zeta=e^{\frac{2\pi}{m}i}$ with

$$\lambda_1^m=(v_m,A_1u_1)=-\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\det\left(\lambda I-A(\varepsilon)\right)|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^m}{\partial\lambda^m}\det(\lambda I-A(\varepsilon))|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{m!}\right)}\neq 0.$$

Furthermore, we can choose

$$\lambda_1=(v_m,A_1u_1)^{1/m}, \tag{3.9}$$

for any fixed mth root of (v_m,A_1u_1) and the eigenvectors to satisfy the normalization conditions

$$(v_1,x_h(\varepsilon))=1,\; h=0,...,m-1. \tag{3.10}$$

Consequently, under these conditions $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2, \ldots$ *and* $x_0,\ x_1,\ \ldots$ *are uniquely determined and are given by the recursive formulas*

$$\lambda_1 = (v_m, A_1u_1)^{1/m} = \left(- \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \det\left(\lambda I - A\left(\varepsilon\right)\right)|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^m}{\partial \lambda^m} \det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{m!} \right)} \right)^{1/m} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\lambda_s = \begin{cases} \dfrac{-r_{m+s-1,m} + \sum\limits_{i=0}^{s-1} \sum\limits_{j=i}^{s-1} p_{j,i} \left(v_{m-i}, \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m+s-1-j}{m} \right\rfloor} A_k x_{m+s-1-j-km} \right)}{m\lambda_1^{m-1}}, \textit{ for } 2 \le s \le m \\ \dfrac{-r_{m+s-1,m} + \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum\limits_{j=i}^{s-1} p_{j,i} \left(v_{m-i}, \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m+s-1-j}{m} \right\rfloor} A_k x_{m+s-1-j-km} \right)}{m\lambda_1^{m-1}}, \textit{ for } s > m \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

$$x_s = \begin{cases} \sum\limits_{i=0}^{s} p_{s,i} u_{i+1}, \textit{ if } 0 \le s \le m-1 \\ \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m-1} p_{s,i} u_{i+1} - \sum\limits_{j=0}^{s-m} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{j} \sum\limits_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s-j}{m} \right\rfloor} p_{j,k} \Lambda^{k+1} A_l x_{s-j-lm}, \textit{ if } s \ge m \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

where $\lfloor\rfloor$ *denotes the floor function.*

COROLLARY 3.2. *The calculation of the kth order terms,* λ_k *and* x_k*, requires only the matrices* $A_0,\ \ldots,\ A_{\left\lfloor \frac{m+k-1}{m} \right\rfloor}$.

COROLLARY 3.3. *The coefficients of those Puiseux series up to second order are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \left(- \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \varepsilon}\left(0, \lambda_0\right)}{\frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^m f}{\partial \lambda^m}\left(0, \lambda_0\right)} \right)^{1/m} = \left(v_m, A_1 u_1\right)^{1/m}, \\ \lambda_2 &= \begin{cases} \dfrac{-\left(\lambda_1^{m+1} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \frac{\partial^{m+1} f}{\partial \lambda^{m+1}}(0,\lambda_0) + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \lambda \partial \varepsilon}(0,\lambda_0) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \varepsilon^2}(0,\lambda_0) \right)}{m\lambda_1^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^m f}{\partial \lambda^m}(0,\lambda_0) \right)}, \textit{ if } m = 1 \\ \dfrac{-\left(\lambda_1^{m+1} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \frac{\partial^{m+1} f}{\partial \lambda^{m+1}}(0,\lambda_0) + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \lambda \partial \varepsilon}(0,\lambda_0) \right)}{m\lambda_1^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^m f}{\partial \lambda^m}(0,\lambda_0) \right)}, \textit{ if } m > 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(v_1, \left(A_2 - A_1 \Lambda A_1\right) u_1\right), \textit{ if } m = 1 \\ \frac{(v_{m-1}, A_1 u_1) + (v_m, A_1 u_2)}{m\lambda_1^{m-2}}, \textit{ if } m > 1 \end{cases}, \\ x_0 &= u_1, \\ x_1 &= \begin{cases} -\Lambda A_1 u_1, \textit{ if } m = 1 \\ \lambda_1 u_2, \textit{ if } m > 1 \end{cases}, \\ x_2 &= \begin{cases} \left(-\Lambda A_2 + \left(\Lambda A_1\right)^2 - \lambda_1 \Lambda^2 A_1 \right) u_1, \textit{ if } m = 1 \\ -\Lambda A_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2, \textit{ if } m = 2 \\ \lambda_2 u_2 + \lambda_1^2 u_3, \textit{ if } m > 2 \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f\left(\varepsilon, \lambda\right) := \det\left(\lambda I - A\left(\varepsilon\right)\right)$.

4. Proofs. This section contains the proofs of the results of this paper. We begin by proving Theorem 2.1 of §2 on conditions equivalent to the generic condition. We next follow this up with the proof of the main result of this paper Theorem 3.1. We finish by proving its corollaries 3.2 and 3.3.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1. *Proof.* To prove this theorem we will prove the following chain of statements (i)⇒(ii)⇒(iii)⇒(iv)⇒(i).

1. (i)⇒(ii). Suppose (i) is true. Define $f(\varepsilon,\lambda) := \det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))$. Then f is an analytic function of (ε,λ) near $(0,\lambda_0)$ since the matrix elements of $A(\varepsilon)$ are analytic functions of ε in a neighborhood of the origin and the determinant of a matrix is a polynomial in its matrix elements. Also we have $f(0,\lambda_0) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \varepsilon}(0,\lambda_0) \neq 0$. Hence by the holomorphic implicit function theorem [9, §1.4 Theorem 1.4.11] there exists a unique solution, $\varepsilon(\lambda)$, in a neighborhood of $\lambda = \lambda_0$ with $\varepsilon(\lambda_0) = 0$ to the equation $f(\varepsilon,\lambda) = 0$, which is analytic at $\lambda = \lambda_0$. We now show that $\varepsilon(\lambda)$ has a zero there of order m at $\lambda = \lambda_0$. For (ε,λ) near $(0,\lambda_0)$ we can expand f into a power series $f(\varepsilon,\lambda) = \sum_{i+j=0,\ i,j\in\mathbb{N}}^{\infty} a_{ij}\varepsilon^i(\lambda-\lambda_0)^j$. By hypothesis λ_0 is an eigenvalue of $A(0)$ of algebraic multiplicity m hence $\lambda = \lambda_0$ is a zero of order m for the characteristic equation of $A(0)$. This means that $\partial^i f \backslash \partial\lambda^i(0,\lambda_0) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ but $\partial^m f \backslash \partial\lambda^m(0,\lambda_0) \neq 0$. Combining this with the fact that $f(0,\lambda_0) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \varepsilon}(0,\lambda_0) \neq 0$ we get

$$f(\varepsilon,\lambda) = a_{10}\varepsilon + a_{0m}(\lambda-\lambda_0)^m + \sum_{\substack{i+j=2,\ i,j\in\mathbb{N} \\ (i,j)\notin\{(0,j):j\leq m\}}}^{\infty} a_{ij}\varepsilon^i(\lambda-\lambda_0)^j \tag{4.1}$$

where $a_{10} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varepsilon}(0,\lambda_0) \neq 0$ and $a_{0m} = \frac{1}{m!}\frac{\partial^m f}{\partial \lambda^m}(0,\lambda_0) \neq 0$. Now by definition $\varepsilon(\lambda)$ is an analytic function that satisfies $f(\varepsilon(\lambda),\lambda) = 0$ in a neighborhood of $\lambda = \lambda_0$ with $\varepsilon(\lambda_0) = 0$. Let q be the order of the zero of $\varepsilon(\lambda)$ at $\lambda = \lambda_0$ so that for $|\lambda - \lambda_0| << 1$, $\varepsilon(\lambda) = \varepsilon_q(\lambda-\lambda_0)^q + O\left((\lambda-\lambda_0)^{q+1}\right)$ with $\varepsilon_q \neq 0$ and $q > 1$. Then using the series expansion of $f(\varepsilon,\lambda)$ about $(0,\lambda_0)$ and $\varepsilon(\lambda)$ about $\lambda = \lambda_0$ together with the identity $f(\varepsilon(\lambda),\lambda) = 0$ for $|\lambda - \lambda_0| << 1$, we can solve for q and ε_q finding that $q = m$ and

$$\varepsilon_m = -\frac{a_{0m}}{a_{10}} = -\frac{\frac{1}{m!}\frac{\partial^m \det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))}{\partial \lambda^m}\Big|_{(\lambda,\varepsilon)=(\lambda_0,0)}}{\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))\Big|_{(\lambda,\varepsilon)=(\lambda_0,0)}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Therefore we conclude that $\varepsilon(\lambda)$ has a zero of order m at $\lambda = \lambda_0$, as desired.

2. (ii)⇒(iii). Suppose (ii) is true. The first part of proving (iii) involves invert $\varepsilon(\lambda)$ near $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\lambda = \lambda_0$. To do this we expand $\varepsilon(\lambda)$ in a power series about $\lambda = \lambda_0$ and find that $\varepsilon(\lambda) = g(\lambda)^m$ where

$$g(\lambda) = (\lambda-\lambda_0)\left(\varepsilon_m + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty}\varepsilon_k(\lambda-\lambda_0)^{k-m}\right)^{1/m}$$

and we are taking any fixed branch of the mth root that is analytic at ε_m. Notice that, for λ in a small enough neighborhood of λ_0, g is an analytic function, $g(\lambda_0) = 0$, and $\frac{dg}{d\lambda}(\lambda_0) = \varepsilon_m^{1/m} \neq 0$. This implies, by the inverse function theorem for analytic functions, that for λ in a small enough neighborhood of λ_0 the analytic function $g(\lambda)$ has an analytic inverse $g^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ in a neighborhood of $\varepsilon = 0$ with $g^{-1}(0) = \lambda_0$. Define a multivalued function $\lambda(\varepsilon)$, for sufficiently small ε, by $\lambda(\varepsilon) := g^{-1}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)$ where by $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}$ we mean all branches of the mth root of ε. We know that g^{-1} is analytic at $\varepsilon = 0$ so that for sufficiently small ε the multivalued function $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ is a Puiseux series. And since $\frac{dg^{-1}}{d\varepsilon}(0) = \left[\frac{dg}{d\lambda}(\lambda_0)\right]^{-1} \neq 0$ we have an expansion

$$\lambda(\varepsilon) = g^{-1}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k \left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k .$$

Now suppose that for fixed λ sufficiently near λ_0 and for sufficiently small ε that $\det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon)) = 0$. We want to show that this implies $\lambda = \lambda(\varepsilon)$ for one of the branches of the mth root. Well we know by hypothesis we must have $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\lambda)$. But as we know this implies that $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\lambda) = g(\lambda)^m$ hence for some branch of the mth root, $b_m()$, we have $b_m(\varepsilon) = b_m(g(\lambda)^m) = g(\lambda)$. But λ is near enough to λ_0 and ε is sufficiently small that we may apply the g^{-1} to both sides yielding $\lambda = g^{-1}(g(\lambda)) = g^{-1}(b_m(\varepsilon)) = \lambda(\varepsilon)$, as desired. Furthermore, all the m branches $\lambda_h(\varepsilon)$, $h = 0, ..., m-1$ of $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ are given by taking all branches of the mth root of ε so that

$$\lambda_h(\varepsilon) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \zeta^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k \left(\zeta^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k$$

where $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi}{m} i}$ and

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{dg^{-1}}{d\varepsilon}(0) = \left[\frac{dg}{d\lambda}(\lambda_0)\right]^{-1} = \varepsilon_m^{-1/m} \neq 0. \tag{4.3}$$

3. (iii)⇒(iv). Suppose (iii) is true. Define the function $y(\varepsilon) := \lambda_0(\varepsilon^m)$. Then y is analytic at $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\frac{dy}{d\varepsilon}(0) = \lambda_1 \neq 0$. Also we have for ε sufficiently small $\det(y(\varepsilon) I - A(\varepsilon^m)) = 0$. Consider the inverse of $y(\varepsilon)$, $y^{-1}(\lambda)$. It satisfies $0 = \det\left(y\left(y^{-1}(\lambda)\right) I - A\left(\left[y^{-1}(\lambda)\right]^m\right)\right) = \det\left(\lambda I - A\left(\left[y^{-1}(\lambda)\right]^m\right)\right)$ with $y^{-1}(\lambda_0) = 0$, $\frac{dy^{-1}}{d\lambda}(\lambda_0) = \lambda_1^{-1}$. Define $g(\lambda) := \left[y^{-1}(\lambda)\right]^m$. Then g has a zero of order m at λ_0 and $\det(\lambda I - A(g(\lambda))) = 0$ for λ in a neighborhood of λ_0.

Now we consider the analytic matrix $A(g(\lambda)) - \lambda I$ in a neighborhood of $\lambda = \lambda_0$ with the constant eigenvalue 0. Because 0 is an analytic eigenvalue of it then there exists an analytic eigenvector, $x(\lambda)$, of $A(g(\lambda)) - \lambda I$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 0 in a neighborhood of λ_0 such that $x(\lambda_0) \neq 0$. In particular, $x(\lambda_0)$ is an eigenvector of $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0. Thus by using the series expansions of $g(\lambda)$, $A(g(\lambda)) - \lambda I$, and $x(\lambda)$ about $\lambda = \lambda_0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (A(g(\lambda)) - \lambda I)\, x(\lambda) \\
&= \left(A\left(\lambda_1^{-m}(\lambda - \lambda_0)^m + O\left((\lambda - \lambda_0)^{m+1}\right)\right) - (\lambda - \lambda_0) I - \lambda_0 I\right) x(\lambda) \\
&= \left((A(0) - \lambda_0 I) - I(\lambda - \lambda_0) + \lambda_1^{-m} \frac{dA}{d\varepsilon}(0)(\lambda - \lambda_0)^m\right) \Big(x(\lambda_0) + \\
&\quad \frac{dx}{d\lambda}(\lambda_0)(\lambda - \lambda_0) + \cdots + \frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}(\lambda_0)(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{m-1} + \frac{d^m x}{d\lambda^m}(\lambda_0)(\lambda - \lambda_0)^m\Big) \\
&\quad + O\left((\lambda - \lambda_0)^{m+1}\right) \\
&= (A(0) - \lambda_0 I)\, x(\lambda_0) + \left((A(0) - \lambda_0 I)\frac{dx}{d\lambda}(\lambda_0) - x(\lambda_0)\right)(\lambda - \lambda_0) + \cdots \\
&\quad + \left((A(0) - \lambda_0 I)\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}(\lambda_0) - \frac{d^{m-2}x}{d\lambda^{m-2}}(\lambda_0)\right)(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{m-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\left(\left(A\left(0\right)-\lambda_{0}I\right)\frac{d^{m}x}{d\lambda^{m}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)-\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)+\lambda_{1}^{-m}\frac{dA}{d\varepsilon}\left(0\right)x\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right)^{m}$$
$$+O\left(\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right)^{m+1}\right).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(A\left(0\right)-\lambda_{0}I\right)x\left(\lambda_{0}\right)&=0,\\ \left(A\left(0\right)-\lambda_{0}I\right)\frac{dx}{d\lambda}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)&=x\left(\lambda_{0}\right),\\ &\vdots\\ \left(A\left(0\right)-\lambda_{0}I\right)\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)&=\frac{d^{m-2}x}{d\lambda^{m-2}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right),\\ \left(A\left(0\right)-\lambda_{0}I\right)\frac{d^{m}x}{d\lambda^{m}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)&=\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)-\lambda_{1}^{-m}\frac{dA}{d\varepsilon}\left(0\right)x\left(\lambda_{0}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The first m equations imply that $\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ is a Jordan vector of degree m with $\left(A\left(0\right)-\lambda_{0}I\right)^{i}\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=\frac{d^{m-1-i}x}{d\lambda^{m-1-i}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$, $i=0,1,...,m-1$ so that $x\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$, $\frac{dx}{d\lambda}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$, $\ldots$, $\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ is a Jordan chain of length m generated by $\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$. Since the algebraic multiplicity of λ_{0} for $A\left(0\right)$ is m this implies that the there is a single $m\times m$ Jordan block corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_{0} where we can take $x\left(\lambda_{0}\right),\frac{dx}{d\lambda}\left(\lambda_{0}\right),...,\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ as a Jordan basis. Furthermore, it is a fact from linear algebra that the Jordan normal form of a matrix and that of its adjoint are the same except the eigenvalues are replaced with their complex conjugates. Thus using this fact we can imply that $A\left(0\right)^{*}$ has a single $m\times m$ Jordan block corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_{0}^{*}. Let v_{0} be a eigenvalue of $A\left(0\right)^{*}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_{0}^{*}. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\left(v_{0},\left(A\left(0\right)-\lambda_{0}I\right)\frac{d^{m}x}{d\lambda^{m}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)&=\left(\left(A\left(0\right)-\lambda_{0}I\right)^{*}v_{0},\frac{d^{m}x}{d\lambda^{m}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)\\ &=\left(0,\frac{d^{m}x}{d\lambda^{m}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)=0.\end{aligned}$$

Now by the $(m+1)$th equation then we have

$$\begin{aligned}0&=\left(v_{0},\left(A\left(0\right)-\lambda_{0}I\right)^{m}\frac{d^{m}x}{d\lambda^{m}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)\\ &=\left(v_{0},\left(\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)-\lambda_{1}^{-m}\frac{dA}{d\varepsilon}\left(0\right)x\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)\right)\\ &=\left(v_{0},\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)-\lambda_{1}^{-m}\left(v_{0},\frac{dA}{d\varepsilon}\left(0\right)x\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

We will show that we can choose a v_{0} an eigenvalue of $A\left(0\right)^{*}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_{0}^{*} such that $\left(v_{0},\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)\neq0$. To do this we extend $x\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$,$\frac{dx}{d\lambda}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$, $\ldots$, $\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ to a basis $x\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$, $\frac{dx}{d\lambda}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$, $\ldots$, $\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$, y_{m+1}, ..., y_{n}, for $\mathbb{C}^{n\times1}$. We form a $n\times n$ matrix U with this basis as a column vectors as such

$$U=\left[x\left(\lambda_{0}\right)|\cdots|\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)|y_{m+1}|\cdots|y_{n}\right].$$

Then since $x(\lambda_0)$, $\frac{dx}{d\lambda}(\lambda_0)$, $\ldots$, $\frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}(\lambda_0)$, y_{m+1}, ..., y_n is a basis it forms a linear independent set and so U is invertible. Define V as the matrix

$$V = \left(U^{-1}\right)^*.$$

Then we have the biorthogonal relation

$$V^*U = I.$$

We will show that the mth column of V is the vector we seek, i.e., $v_0 = Ve_m = \left(U^{-1}\right)^* e_m$ is an eigenvector of $A(0)^*$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0^* such that $\left(v_0, \frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}(\lambda_0)\right) \neq 0$. First we find that $\left(v_0, \frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}(\lambda_0)\right) \neq 0$ since

$$\left(v_0, \frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}(\lambda_0)\right) = \left(\left(U^{-1}\right)^* e_m, Ue_m\right) = 1.$$

Now we need only show that v_0 is an eigenvalue of $A(0)^*$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0^*. To do this we first note that

$$U^{-1}A(0)U = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} J_m(\lambda_0) & \\ \hline & W_0 \end{array}\right]$$

where W_0 is a $(n-m)\times(n-m)$ matrix such that λ_0 is not one of its eigenvalues. Hence by Proposition A.1 (ii) it follows that $v_0 = \left(U^{-1}\right)^* e_m$ is an eigenvalue of $A(0)^*$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0^*. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left(v_0, \frac{d^{m-1}x}{d\lambda^{m-1}}(\lambda_0)\right) - \lambda_1^{-m}\left(v_0, \frac{dA}{d\varepsilon}(0)\,x(\lambda_0)\right) \\ &= 1 - \lambda_1^{-m}\left(v_0, \frac{dA}{d\varepsilon}(0)\,x(\lambda_0)\right) \end{aligned}$$

implying that $\left(v_0, \frac{dA}{d\varepsilon}(0)\,x(\lambda_0)\right) = \lambda_1^m \neq 0$. Therefore we have shown that the Jordan normal form of $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 consists of a single $m\times m$ Jordan block and there exists an eigenvector u of $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 and an eigenvector v of $A(0)^*$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0^* such that $\left(v, \left.\frac{dA(\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} u\right) \neq 0$.

4. (iv)⇒(i). Suppose (iv) is true. We begin by noting that since

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\lambda_0 I - A(\varepsilon)) &= (-1)^n \det\left((A(0)-\lambda_0 I) + A'(0)\,\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon)\right) \\ &= (-1)^n \det\left((A(0)-\lambda_0 I) + A'(0)\,\varepsilon\right) + o(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

it suffices to show that

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\det\left((A(0)-\lambda_0 I) + A'(0)\,\varepsilon\right)\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \neq 0.$$

An expression for the derivative of $\det\left((A(0)-\lambda_0 I) + A'(0)\,\varepsilon\right)$ can be found in [10, Theorem 2.16]. We will prove from this expression that there exists a nonzero constant c such that

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\det\left((A(0)-\lambda_0 I) + A'(0)\,\varepsilon\right)\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = c\left(v_0, A'(0)\,u_0\right) \neq 0$$

which will complete the proof.

Let $A(0)-\lambda_0 I = Y\Sigma X^*$ be a singular-value decomposition of the matrix $A(0)-\lambda_0 I$ where X, Y are unitary matrices and $\Sigma = diag(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{n-1},\sigma_n)$ with $\sigma_1 \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{n-1} \geq \sigma_n \geq 0$(see [3, §5.7 Theorem 2]). Now since the Jordan normal form of $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 consists of a single Jordan block this implies that rank of $A(0)-\lambda_0 I$ is $n-1$. This implies that $\sigma_1 \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{n-1} > \sigma_n = 0$, $u = Xe_n$ is an eigenvalue of $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0, and $v = Ye_n$ is an eigenvalue of $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0^*. The latter implies that since the Jordan normal form of $A(0)$ $(A(0)^*)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 (λ_0^*) consists of a single Jordan block then there exists nonzero constants c_1, c_2 such that $u = c_1 u_0$ and $v = c_2 v_0$.

Now using the result of [10, Theorem 2.16] we find that

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\det\left((A(0)-\lambda_0 I)+A^{'}(0)\varepsilon\right)|_{\varepsilon=0} = S_{n-1}$$

where

$$S_{n-1} = \det(YX^*)\sum_{1\leq i_1<\cdots<i_{n-1}\leq n}\sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_{n-1}}\det\left(\left(Y^*A^{'}(0)X\right)_{i_1\ldots i_{n-1}}\right).$$

Here $\left(Y^*A^{'}(0)X\right)_{i_1\ldots i_{n-1}}$ is the matrix obtained from $Y^*A^{'}(0)X$ by removing rows and columns $i_1\ldots i_{n-1}$. But since $\sigma_n = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\left(Y^*A^{'}(0)X\right)_{1\ldots(n-1)} &= e_n^*Y^*A^{'}(0)Xe_n\\ &= \left(v, A^{'}(0)u\right)\\ &= c_2^*c_1\left(v_0, A^{'}(0)u_0\right) \neq 0\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}S_{n-1} &= \det(YX^*)\sum_{1\leq i_1<\cdots<i_{n-1}<n}\sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_{n-1}}\det\left(\left(Y^*A^{'}(0)X\right)_{i_1\ldots i_{n-1}}\right)\\ &= \det(YX^*)\,\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_{n-1}\det\left(\left(Y^*A^{'}(0)X\right)_{1\ldots(n-1)}\right)\\ &= \det(YX^*)\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\sigma_j c_2^*c_1\left(v_0, A^{'}(0)u_0\right) \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

We now prove Theorem 3.1.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1. *Proof.* We begin by noting that our hypotheses imply that statements (ii), (iii), and (iv) of Theorem 2.1 are true. In particular, statement (iii) implies that there is exactly one convergent Puiseux series for the λ_0-group given by

$$\lambda_h(\varepsilon) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1\zeta^h\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\lambda_k\left(\zeta^h\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k,\ h = 0,...,m-1,$$

where $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi}{m}i}$ and $\lambda_1 \neq 0$. Then by well known results [1, §6.1.7], [2, §II.1.2] there is a convergent Puiseux series for the corresponding eigenvectors of the form

$$x_h(\varepsilon) = x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \left(\zeta^h \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k, \; h = 0, ..., m-1,$$

where $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi}{m}i}$ and x_0 is an eigenvector of $A_0 = A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0. Now if we examine the proof of (ii)⇒(iii) in Theorem 2.1 we see by equation (4.3) that $\lambda_1^m = \varepsilon_m^{-1}$, where ε_m is given in equation (4.2) in the proof of (i)⇒(iii) for Theorem 2.1. Thus we can conclude that

$$\lambda_1^m = -\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\det\left(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon)\right)|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^m}{\partial\lambda^m}\det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{m!}\right)} \neq 0.$$

Choose any mth root of $(v_m, A_1 u_1)$ and denote it by $(v_m, A_1 u_1)^{1/m}$. Applying this mth root to both sides of the above representation for λ_1^m we find that

$$\left(-\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\det\left(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon)\right)|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^m}{\partial\lambda^m}\det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{m!}\right)}\right)^{1/m} = \zeta^r \lambda_1$$

for some r depending on λ_1 with $0 \leq r \leq m-1$. But then

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\lambda_h(\varepsilon)} = \lambda_{h+r}(\varepsilon) &= \lambda_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \left(\zeta^{h+r}\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k \\ &= \lambda_0 + (\lambda_1\zeta^r)\left(\zeta^h\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \zeta^{rk}\left(\zeta^h\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k, \; h = 0, ..., m-1\end{aligned}$$

is still the same collection of eigenvalues for $A(\varepsilon)$, since $\zeta^{h+r} = \zeta^{[(h+r) \bmod m]}$, and is still a convergent Puiseux series with corresponding eigenvectors

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{x_h(\varepsilon)} = x_{h+r}(\varepsilon) &= x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \left(\zeta^{h+r}\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k \\ &= x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \zeta^{rk}\left(\zeta^h\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^k, \; h = 0, ..., m-1.\end{aligned}$$

Hence without loss of generality we may assume that, for the Puiseux series representing the eigenvalues of $A(\varepsilon)$ given in (3.7),

$$\lambda_1 = \left(-\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\det\left(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon)\right)|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^m}{\partial\lambda^m}\det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{m!}\right)}\right)^{1/m}.$$

Next, we wish to prove that we can choose the perturbed eigenvectors (3.8) to satisfy the normalization conditions (3.10). For us to do this we must first show that $(v_1, x_0) \neq 0$. This is done by noting that Theorem 2.1 (iv) tells us the Jordan normal form of $A(0) = A_0$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 consists of a single $m \times m$ Jordan block. Hence the kernel of $A_0 - \lambda_0 I$ is one-dimensional so that there exists a $c_1 \neq 0$ such that $x_0 = c_1 u_1$, since x_0 and u_1 are eigenvectors of A_0 corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0(the latter following from Proposition A.1 (ii)). Then by this and Proposition A.1 (i) we have $(v_1, x_0) = c_1 \neq 0$.

Now since in a neighborhood of the origin $x_h(\varepsilon)$ is an eigenvector of $A(\varepsilon)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_h(\varepsilon)$ and $x_h(\varepsilon)$ is continuous at the origin with $x_h(0) = x_0$ then in possibly a smaller neighborhood of the origin $(v_1, x_h(\varepsilon)) \neq 0$ and $\widehat{x_h}(\varepsilon) = \frac{x_h(\varepsilon)}{(v_1, x_h(\varepsilon))}$ is an eigenvector of $A(\varepsilon)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_h(\varepsilon)$. But then $\widehat{x_0}(z^m) = \frac{x_0(z^m)}{(v_1, x_0(z^m))}$ is analytic at the origin since $x_0(z^m)$ and $(v_1, x_0(z^m))$ are. Hence we have the series representation $\widehat{x_0}(z^m) = x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widehat{x_k} z^k$, which is convergent in a neighborhood of the origin. This implies $\widehat{x}_h(\varepsilon) = \widehat{x_0}\left(\zeta^h \varepsilon^{1/m}\right) = \widehat{x_0} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widehat{x_k}\left(\zeta^h \varepsilon^{1/m}\right)^k$ for $h = 0, ..., m-1$. But $\widehat{x_0}(z^m)$ is analytic at the origin and hence so is $(v_1, \widehat{x_0}(z^m))$ with $1 = \left(v_1, \frac{x_0(z^m)}{(v_1, x_0(z^m))}\right) = (v_1, \widehat{x_0}(z^m)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (v_1, \widehat{x_k}) z^k$. This implies $(v_1, \widehat{x_0}) = 1$ and $(v_1, \widehat{x_k}) = 0$, for $k \geq 1$ and $(v_1, \widehat{x}_h(\varepsilon)) = 1$ for $h = 0, ..., m-1$. Combine this with the fact that $\widehat{x}_h(\varepsilon)$ is an eigenvector of $A(\varepsilon)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_h(\varepsilon)$ and we have proved the statement that we choose the perturbed eigenvectors (3.8) to satisfy the normalization conditions (3.10). Note that we have also proved that for those perturbed eigenvectors that satisfy the normalization conditions we have $(v_1, x_0) = 1$ and $(v_1, x_k) = 0$, for $k \geq 1$.

We are now in a position to show that $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots$ and $x_0, x_1, \ldots$ are given by the recursive formulas (3.11)-(3.13). First some preliminaries. We begin by using the equality

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (A(\varepsilon) - \lambda_0(\varepsilon) I)\, x_0(\varepsilon) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(A_{\frac{k}{m}} - \lambda_k I\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{k}{m}}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k \varepsilon^{\frac{k}{m}} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{s} \left(A_{\frac{k}{m}} - \lambda_k I\right) x_{s-k}\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{s}{m}} \end{aligned}$$

in a neighborhood of the origin, where $A_{\frac{k}{m}} = 0$ if $\frac{k}{m} \notin \mathbb{N}$. This equality implies that $\sum_{k=0}^{s} \left(A_{\frac{k}{m}} - \lambda_k I\right) x_{s-k} = 0$, for $s \geq 0$, and hence

$$(A_0 - \lambda_0 I)\, x_s = -\sum_{k=1}^{s} \left(A_{\frac{k}{m}} - \lambda_k I\right) x_{s-k}, \text{ for } s \geq 1.$$

Next, denote S by the space spanned by the vectors $\{Ue_i |\ 2 \leq i \leq n\}$. We will now show that $x_s \in S$ for $s \geq 1$. First notice that since U is invertible and is an $n \times n$ matrix then its columns, Ue_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ is a basis for $\mathbb{C}^{n \times 1}$. Hence we have for each s with $s \geq 1$, $x_s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i U e_i$ for some complex scalars c_i. Next, we note that by the facts $(v_1, x_0) = 1$ and $(v_1, x_k) = 0$, for $k \geq 1$ as we just proved, the definition $v_1 = \left(U^{-1}\right)^* e_1$, and $(v_1, Ue_i) = \delta_{1i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, this implies that $0 = (v_1, x_s) = c_1$ for $s \geq 1$. Hence $x_s \in S$ for $s \geq 1$.

Now from Proposition A.1 (iii) we know that $\Lambda(A_0 - \lambda_0 I)$ acts as the identity on $S = span\{Ue_i |\ 2 \leq i \leq n\}$. Hence since $x_s \in S$ for $s \geq 1$ we have $x_s = \Lambda(A_0 - \lambda_0 I)\, x_s$, for $s \geq 1$.

Finally, for $s=0$ we have $x_0 = u_1$. This follows from that facts that we have already proven that there exists a $c_1 \neq 0$ such that $x_0 = c_1 u_1$, the normalization condition $(v_1, x_0) = 1$, and $(v_1, Ue_1) = 1$. Thus we have proved that

$$x_0 = u_1,\ x_s = \Lambda\left(A_0 - \lambda_0 I\right) x_s, \text{ for } s \geq 1, \tag{4.4}$$

which is the first key step in this proof.

The next key step in this proof is the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. *For all $s \geq 0$ the following identity holds*

$$\left(A_0 - \lambda_0 I\right) x_s = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{s} p_{s,i} u_i, \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq m-1 \\ \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} p_{s,i} u_i - \sum\limits_{j=0}^{s-m} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{j} \sum\limits_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s-j}{m} \right\rfloor} p_{j,k} \Lambda^k A_l x_{s-j-lm}, \text{ for } s \geq m \end{cases}, \tag{4.5}$$

where we define $u_0 = 0$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on s. The statement is true for $s=0$ since $\left(A_0 - \lambda_0 I\right) x_0 = 0 = p_{0,0} u_0$. Now suppose it was true for all r with $0 \leq r \leq s$ for some nonnegative integer s. We will show the statement is true for $s+1$ as well.

Suppose $s+1 \leq m-1$ then $\left(A_0 - \lambda_0 I\right) x_r = \sum_{i=0}^{r} p_{r,i} u_i$ for $0 \leq r \leq s$ and we must show that $\left(A_0 - \lambda_0 I\right) x_{s+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{s+1} p_{s+1,i} u_i$. Well, first for $1 \leq r \leq s$ we have $x_r = \Lambda\left(A_0 - \lambda_0 I\right) x_r = \sum_{i=0}^{r} p_{r,i} \Lambda u_i = \sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{r,i} \Lambda u_i$ by the first key step (4.4). Second, by Proposition A.1 (iv) we have $\Lambda u_i = u_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and hence $x_r = \sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{r,i} u_{i+1}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(A_0 - \lambda_0 I\right) x_{s+1} &= -\sum_{k=1}^{s+1} \left(A_{\frac{k}{m}} - \lambda_k I\right) x_{s+1-k} \\ &= \lambda_{s+1} u_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{s} \sum_{i=1}^{s+1-k} \lambda_k p_{s+1-k,i} u_{i+1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} \sum_{i=0}^{s+1-k} \lambda_k p_{s+1-k,i} u_{i+1}, \end{aligned}$$

the latter equality holding since $p_{s+1-k,0} = 0$ for $s+1-k > 0$ and $p_{0,0} = 1$. Now we rearrange the sum using the identity $\sum_{k=1}^{s+1} \sum_{i=0}^{s+1-k} a_{k,i} = \sum_{i=0}^{s} \sum_{k=1}^{s+1-i} a_{k,i}$ and use the fact that $\sum_{k=1}^{s+1-i} \lambda_k p_{s+1-k,i} = \sum_{k=1}^{(s+1)-(i+1)+1} \lambda_k p_{(s+1)-k,(i+1)-1} = p_{s+1,i+1}$ for $s \geq i \geq 0$ by Proposition B.1 (vi), to get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(A_0 - \lambda_0 I\right) x_{s+1} &= \sum_{i=0}^{s} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{s+1-i} \lambda_k p_{s+1-k,i} \right) u_{i+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{s+1} p_{s+1,i} u_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the statement is true if $s+1 \leq m-1$.

Now suppose that $s+1 \geq m$. The proof is similar to what we just proved. First we get for $1 \leq r \leq s$, $x_s = \Lambda\left(A_0 - \lambda_0 I\right) x_s$, for $s \geq 1$ by the first key step (4.4), $\Lambda u_i = u_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ and $\Lambda u_m = 0$ by Proposition A.1 (iv), and $p_{r,0} = 0$ by definition since $r \geq 1$. Hence these together with the induction hypothesis for

$1 \leq r \leq s$ that (4.5) is true implies that

$$x_r = \begin{cases} \sum\limits_{i=0}^{r} p_{r,i}u_{i+1}, \text{ for } 0 \leq r \leq m-1 \\ \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m-1} p_{r,i}u_{i+1} - \sum\limits_{j=0}^{r-m}\sum\limits_{k=0}^{j}\sum\limits_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{r-j}{m} \right\rfloor} p_{j,k}\Lambda^{k+1}A_l x_{r-j-lm}, \text{ for } r \geq m \end{cases}.$$

Thus from this representation of x_r for $1 \leq r \leq s$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_0 - \lambda_0 I)\, x_{s+1} &= -\sum_{k=1}^{s+1}\left(A_{\frac{k}{m}} - \lambda_k I\right) x_{s+1-k} \\
&= -\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} A_l x_{s+1-lm} + \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} \lambda_k x_{s+1-k} \\
&= -\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} A_l x_{s+1-lm} + \sum_{s\geq s+1-k\geq m} \lambda_k x_{s+1-k} + \sum_{m>s+1-k\geq 0} \lambda_k x_{s+1-k} \\
&= -\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} \Lambda A_l x_{s-lm} + \sum_{s\geq s+1-k\geq m} \lambda_k \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p_{s+1-k,i}u_{i+1} - \right. \\
&\qquad \left. \sum_{j=0}^{s+1-k-m}\sum_{i=0}^{j}\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1-k-j}{m} \right\rfloor} p_{j,i}\Lambda^{i+1}A_l x_{s+1-k-j-lm}\right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{m>s+1-k\geq 0} \lambda_k \left(\sum_{i=0}^{s+1-k} p_{s+1-k,i}u_{i+1}\right) \\
&= -\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} \Lambda A_l x_{s-lm} + \sum_{k=1}^{s+1-m}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \lambda_k p_{s+1-k,i}u_{i+1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{s+1-m}\sum_{j=0}^{s+1-k-m}\sum_{i=0}^{j}\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1-k-j}{m} \right\rfloor} \lambda_k p_{j,i}\Lambda^{i+1}A_l x_{s+1-k-j-lm} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k>s+1-m}^{s+1}\sum_{i=0}^{s+1-k} \lambda_k p_{s+1-k,i}u_{i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We use the sum identity $\sum_{k>s+1-m}^{s+1}\sum_{i=0}^{s+1-k} a_{k,i} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k>s+1-m}^{s+1-i} a_{k,i}$ and the identity $\sum_{k=1}^{s+1-i} \lambda_k p_{s+1-k,i} = \sum_{k=1}^{(s+1)-(i+1)+1} \lambda_k p_{(s+1)-k,(i+1)-1} = p_{s+1,i+1}$ for $s \geq i \geq 0$ (which follows from Proposition B.1 (vi)) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_0 - \lambda_0 I)\, x_{s+1} &= -\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} \Lambda A_l x_{s-lm} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k=1}^{s+1-m} \lambda_k p_{s+1-k,i}u_{i+1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{s+1-m}\sum_{j=0}^{s+1-k-m}\sum_{i=0}^{j}\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1-k-j}{m} \right\rfloor} \lambda_k p_{j,i}\Lambda^{i+1}A_l x_{s+1-k-j-lm} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k>s+1-m}^{s+1-i} \lambda_k p_{s+1-k,i}u_{i+1} \\
&= -\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} \Lambda A_l x_{s-lm} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{s+1-i} \lambda_k p_{s+1-k,i}\right) u_{i+1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{j=0}^{s+1-k-m} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1-k-j}{m} \right\rfloor} \lambda_k p_{j,i} \Lambda^{i+1} A_l x_{s+1-k-j-lm} \\
&= - \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} \Lambda A_l x_{s-lm} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p_{s+1,i+1} u_{i+1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{j=0}^{s+1-k-m} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1-k-j}{m} \right\rfloor} \lambda_k p_{j,i} \Lambda^{i+1} A_l x_{s+1-k-j-lm} \\
&= - \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} \Lambda A_l x_{s-lm} + \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{s+1,i} u_{i} \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{j=0}^{s+1-k-m} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1-k-j}{m} \right\rfloor} \lambda_k p_{j,i} \Lambda^{i+1} A_l x_{s+1-k-j-lm}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now though we use the sum identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{j=0}^{s+1-k-m} \sum_{i=0}^{j} a_{k,j,i} &= \sum_{j=0}^{s+1-m-1} \sum_{k=1}^{s+1-j-m} \sum_{i=0}^{j} a_{k,j,i} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{s+1-m-1} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \sum_{k=1}^{s+1-j-m} a_{k,j,i} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{s+1-m-1} \sum_{j=i}^{s+1-m-1} \sum_{k=1}^{s+1-j-m} a_{k,j,i} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{s+1-m-1} \sum_{q=i+1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{\substack{j+k=q \\ i \le j \le s+1-m-1 \\ 1 \le k \le s+1-j-m}} a_{k,j,i} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{s+1-m-1} \sum_{q=i+1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{k=1}^{q-i} a_{k,q-k,i}
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $a_{k,j,i} = \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1-k-j}{m} \right\rfloor} \lambda_k p_{j,i} \Lambda^{i+1} A_l x_{s+1-k-j-lm}$, with the identity $\sum_{k=1}^{q-i} \lambda_k p_{q-k,i} = \sum_{k=1}^{q-(i+1)+1} \lambda_k p_{q-k,(i+1)-1} = p_{q,i+1}$ for $q \geq i+1 > 0$(which follows from Proposition B.1 (vi)) to conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A_0 - \lambda_0 I)\, x_{s+1} &= - \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} \Lambda A_l x_{s-lm} + \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{s+1,i} u_i - \sum_{k=1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{j=0}^{s+1-k-m} \sum_{i=0}^{j} a_{k,j,i} \\
&= - \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} \Lambda A_l x_{s-lm} + \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{s+1,i} u_i - \sum_{i=0}^{s+1-m-1} \sum_{q=i+1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{k=1}^{q-i} a_{k,q-k,i} \\
&= - \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} \Lambda A_l x_{s-lm} + \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{s+1,i} u_i \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{s+1-m-1} \sum_{q=i+1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{k=1}^{q-i} \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1-q}{m} \right\rfloor} \lambda_k p_{q-k,i} \Lambda^{i+1} A_l x_{s+1-q-lm}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} \Lambda A_l x_{s-lm} + \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{s+1,i} u_i \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{s+1-m-1} \sum_{q=i+1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1-q}{m} \right\rfloor} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{q-i} \lambda_k p_{q-k,i} \right) \Lambda^{i+1} A_l x_{s+1-q-lm} \\
&= -\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} \Lambda A_l x_{s-lm} + \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{s+1,i} u_i \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{s+1-m-1} \sum_{q=i+1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1-q}{m} \right\rfloor} p_{q,i+1} \Lambda^{i+1} A_l x_{s+1-q-lm}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we use the sum identities

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s+1-m-1} \sum_{q=i+1}^{s+1-m} a_{q,i+1} = \sum_{q=1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_{q,i+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} a_{j,k+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{k=1}^{j} a_{j,k}$$

together with the fact that $p_{j,0} = 0$ for $j > 0$ and $p_{0,0} = 1$ to conclude by letting $a_{q,i+1} = \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1-q}{m} \right\rfloor} p_{q,i+1} \Lambda^{i+1} A_l x_{s+1-q-lm}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(A_0 - \lambda_0 I\right) x_{s+1} &= -\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1}{m} \right\rfloor} \Lambda A_l x_{s-lm} + \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{s+1,i} u_i \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{s+1-m} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1-j}{m} \right\rfloor} p_{j,k} \Lambda^{k} A_l x_{s+1-j-lm} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{s+1,i} u_i - \sum_{j=0}^{s+1-m} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s+1-j}{m} \right\rfloor} p_{j,k} \Lambda^{k} A_l x_{s+1-j-lm}.
\end{aligned}$$

But this is the statement we needed to prove for $s + 1 \geq m$. Therefore by induction the statement (4.5) is true for all $s \geq 0$ as desired. □

The lemma above is the key to prove the recursive formulas for λ_s and x_s as given by (3.11)-(3.13). First we prove that x_s is given by (3.13). For $s = 0$ we have already shown $x_0 = u_1 = p_{0,0} u_1$. So suppose $s \geq 1$. Then we use the above lemma identity (4.5) together with the identities given in (4.4), the fact that $\Lambda u_i = u_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ and $\Lambda u_m = 0$ (see Proposition A.1 (iv)), and $p_{s,0} = 0$ by definition since $s \geq 1$ to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
x_s &= \Lambda \left(A_0 - \lambda_0 I\right) x_s \\
&= \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{s} p_{s,i} \Lambda u_i, \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq m-1 \\ \displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{s,i} \Lambda u_i - \sum_{j=0}^{s-m} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s-j}{m} \right\rfloor} p_{j,k} \Lambda^{k+1} A_l x_{s-j-lm}, \text{ for } s \geq m \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{s} p_{s,i} u_{i+1}, \text{ if } 0 \leq s \leq m-1 \\ \displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p_{s,i} u_{i+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{s-m} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{s-j}{m} \right\rfloor} p_{j,k} \Lambda^{k+1} A_l x_{s-j-lm}, \text{ if } s \geq m \end{cases}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that x_s is given by (3.13).

Next by using the above lemma identity (4.5) again we will prove that λ_s is given by (3.11) and (3.12). We start with $s=1$ and prove λ_1 is given by (3.11). To being we note that by Proposition A.1 (ii) we have $(A_0-\lambda_0 I)^* v_m = 0$. Then using the above lemma identity (4.5) for $s=m$, the biorthogonality relation $(v_i,u_j)=\delta_{ij}$ from Proposition A.1 (i), and the fact $p_{m,m}=\lambda_1^m$ from Proposition B.1 (iv), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= ((A_0-\lambda_0 I)^* v_m, x_m)\\
&= (v_m, (A_0-\lambda_0 I)x_m)\\
&= \left(v_m, \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{m,i}u_i - \sum_{j=0}^{m-m}\sum_{k=0}^{j}\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m-j}{m}\right\rfloor} p_{j,k}\Lambda^k A_l x_{m-j-lm} \right)\\
&= \left(v_m, \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{m,i}u_i - A_1 u_1 \right)\\
&= (v_m, \lambda_1^m u_m - A_1 u_1)\\
&= \lambda_1^m - (v_m, A_1 u_1),
\end{aligned}$$

so that $\lambda_1^m = (v_m, A_1 u_1)$. Now in the beginning of our proof we chose a mth root of $(v_m, A_1 u_1)$ and denoted it by $(v_m, A_1 u_1)^{1/m}$. We showed that for this same mth root we had

$$\lambda_1 = \left(-\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}\det\left(\lambda I - A\left(\varepsilon\right)\right)|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^m}{\partial \lambda^m}\det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{m!}\right)} \right)^{1/m}.$$

This means that

$$-\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}\det\left(\lambda I - A\left(\varepsilon\right)\right)|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{\left(\frac{\frac{\partial^m}{\partial \lambda^m}\det(\lambda I - A(\varepsilon))|_{(\varepsilon,\lambda)=(0,\lambda_0)}}{m!}\right)} = \lambda_1^m = (v_m, A_1 u_1)$$

and by taking that mth root of $(v_m, A_1 u_1)$ on that chain of equalities we conclude that formula (3.11) is true.

Finally, suppose that $s \geq 2$. Then using the fact $(A_0-\lambda_0 I)^* v_m = 0$ by Proposition A.1 (ii), the above lemma identity (4.5) for $m+s-1$, the biorthogonality relation $(v_i,u_j)=\delta_{ij}$ from Proposition A.1 (i), the identities $\Lambda^* v_1 = 0$, and $\Lambda^* v_i = v_{i-1}$, for $2 \leq i \leq m$ from Proposition A.1 (v), the sum identity $\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}\sum_{k=0}^{j} a_{j,k} = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1}\sum_{j=k}^{s-1} a_{j,k}$, and the relation $r_{m+s-1,m} = p_{m+s-1,m} - m\lambda_1^{m-1}\lambda_s$ from Proposition B.1 (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= ((A_0-\lambda_0 I)^* v_m, x_{m+s-1})\\
&= (v_m, (A_0-\lambda_0 I)x_{m+s-1})\\
&= \left(v_m, \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{m+s-1,i}u_i - \sum_{j=0}^{(m+s-1)-m}\sum_{k=0}^{j}\sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{(m+s-1)-j}{m}\right\rfloor} p_{j,k}\Lambda^k A_l x_{(m+s-1)-j-lm} \right)\\
&= p_{m+s-1,m} - \sum_{j=0}^{s-1}\sum_{k=0}^{j} p_{j,k}\left(\left(\Lambda^*\right)^k v_m, \sum_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m+s-1-j}{m}\right\rfloor} A_l x_{m+s-1-j-lm} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= r_{m+s-1,m} + m\lambda_1^{m-1}\lambda_s - \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\sum_{j=i}^{s-1} p_{j,i}\left(v_{m-i}, \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m+s-1-j}{m} \right\rfloor} A_k x_{m+s-1-j-km}\right), \text{ for } 2 \le s \le m \\ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{j=i}^{s-1} p_{j,i}\left(v_{m-i}, \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m+s-1-j}{m} \right\rfloor} A_k x_{m+s-1-j-km}\right), \text{ for } s > m \end{cases}.$$

And therefore using this equality and the fact $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ we can solve for λ_s and we will find that it is given by (3.12) as desired. This completes the proof. □

Finally, we can now prove the corollaries.

4.3. Proof of Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3. *Proof.* Both corollaries follow almost trivially now. For if we examine the recursive formulas in Theorem 3.1 (3.11)-(3.13) we see that λ_k and x_k require just $A_0, \ldots, A_{\left\lfloor \frac{m+k-1}{m} \right\rfloor}$. Furthermore, to get up to the second order terms we just explicitly calculate λ_2 and x_1, x_2. The only nontrivial aspect is the calculation of λ_2. But this follows from the series representation of $f(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ (4.1) and $\lambda_0(\varepsilon)$ (3.7) which, for ε in a neighborhood of the origin, satisfies

$$0 = f(\varepsilon, \lambda_0(\varepsilon)) = a_{10}\varepsilon + a_{0m}(\lambda_0(\varepsilon) - \lambda_0)^m + \sum_{\substack{i+j=2,\ i,j\in\mathbb{N} \\ (i,j)\notin\{(0,j):j\le m\}}}^{\infty} a_{ij}\varepsilon^i(\lambda_0(\varepsilon) - \lambda_0)^j$$

$$= a_{10}\varepsilon + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_{0m}p_{k,m}\varepsilon^{\frac{k}{m}} + \sum_{\substack{i+j=2,\ i,j\in\mathbb{N} \\ (i,j)\notin\{(0,j):j\le m\}}}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} a_{ij}p_{k,j}\varepsilon^{\frac{k+im}{m}}$$

$$= (a_{10} + a_{0m}p_{m.m})\varepsilon + \begin{cases} (a_{0m}p_{m+1,m} + a_{0m+1}p_{m+1,m+1} + a_{11}p_{1,1} + a_{20}p_{00})\varepsilon^{\frac{m+1}{m}}, \text{ for } m = 1 \\ (a_{0m}p_{m+1,m} + a_{0m+1}p_{m+1,m+1} + a_{11}p_{1,1})\varepsilon^{\frac{m+1}{m}}, \text{ for } m > 1 \end{cases} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{m+2}{m}}\right)$$

$$= (a_{10} + a_{0m}\lambda_1^m)\varepsilon + \begin{cases} \left(a_{0m}m\lambda_1^{m-1}\lambda_2 + a_{0m+1}\lambda_1^{m+1} + a_{11}\lambda_1 + a_{20}\right)\varepsilon^{\frac{m+1}{m}}, \text{ for } m = 1 \\ \left(a_{0m}m\lambda_1^{m-1}\lambda_2 + a_{0m+1}\lambda_1^{m+1} + a_{11}\lambda_1\right)\varepsilon^{\frac{m+1}{m}}, \text{ for } m > 1 \end{cases} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{m+2}{m}}\right)$$

(see the proof of (i)⇒(ii) in Theorem (2.1) and Proposition B.1 (iii)-(v)). This allows us to solve for λ_2 yielding

$$\lambda_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{-\left(a_{0m+1}\lambda_1^{m+1} + a_{11}\lambda_1\right)}{a_{0m}m\lambda_1^{m-1}}, \text{ for } m > 1 \\ \frac{-\left(a_{0m+1}\lambda_1^{m+1} + a_{11}\lambda_1 + a_{20}\right)}{a_{0m}m\lambda_1^{m-1}}, \text{ for } m = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{-\left(\lambda_1^{m+1}\frac{1}{(m+1)!}\frac{\partial^{m+1}f}{\partial\lambda^{m+1}}(0,\lambda_0) + \lambda_1\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\lambda\partial\varepsilon}(0,\lambda_0) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\varepsilon^2}(0,\lambda_0)\right)}{m\lambda_1^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{m!}\frac{\partial^m f}{\partial\lambda^m}(0,\lambda_0)\right)}, \text{ for } m = 1 \\ \frac{-\left(\lambda_1^{m+1}\frac{1}{(m+1)!}\frac{\partial^{m+1}f}{\partial\lambda^{m+1}}(0,\lambda_0) + \lambda_1\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial\lambda\partial\varepsilon}(0,\lambda_0)\right)}{m\lambda_1^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{m!}\frac{\partial^m f}{\partial\lambda^m}(0,\lambda_0)\right)}, \text{ for } m > 1 \end{cases}.$$

And therefore the corollaries are true. □

Appendix A.

Let A_0 and U be $n \times n$ matrices with the latter being invertible such that

$$U^{-1}A_0U = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} J_m(\lambda_0) & \\ \hline & W_0 \end{array}\right], \tag{A.1}$$

where W_0 is a $(n-m)\times(n-m)$ matrix such that λ_0 is not one of its eigenvalues.

Define the vectors $u_1,\ldots,\ u_m,\ v_1,\ldots,\ v_m \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times 1}$ as the first m columns of the matrix U and $\left(U^{-1}\right)^*$, respectively, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &u_i := Ue_i,\ 1\le i\le m,\\ &v_i := \left(U^{-1}\right)^* e_i,\ 1\le i\le m. \end{aligned}$$

And define the matrix $\Lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ by

$$\Lambda := U\left[\begin{array}{c|c} J_m(0)^* & \\ \hline & (W_0-\lambda_0 I_{n-m})^{-1} \end{array}\right]U^{-1}, \tag{A.2}$$

where I_{n-m} is the $(n-m)\times(n-m)$ identity matrix.

Proposition A.1. *The following properties hold:*

(i) *The two sets of vectors* $\{u_i : 1\le i\le m\}$ *and* $\{v_j : 1\le i\le m\}$ *are biorthogonal, i.e.,*

$$(v_i, u_j) = \delta_{i,j}$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ *is the Kronecker delta.*

(ii) *The vector* u_1 *is an eigenvector of* A_0 *corresponding to the eigenvalue* λ_0 *and* v_m *is an eigenvector of* A_0^* *corresponding to the eigenvalue* λ_0^*.

(iii) *We have* $\Lambda(A_0-\lambda_0 I)Ue_1 = 0$, $\Lambda(A_0-\lambda_0 I)Ue_i = Ue_i$, *for* $2\le i\le n$,

(iv) $\Lambda u_m = 0$, $\Lambda u_i = u_{i+1}$, *for* $1\le i\le m-1$,

(v) $\Lambda^* v_1 = 0$, *and* $\Lambda^* v_i = v_{i-1}$, *for* $2\le i\le m$.

Proof. i. The biorthogonality follows from fact $(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ since

$$\left(\left(U^{-1}\right)^* e_i, Ue_j\right) = (e_i, e_j).$$

ii. This follows from the definitions $u_1 = Ue_1$, $v_m = \left(U^{-1}\right)^* e_m$ and the facts

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} J_m(\lambda_0) & \\ \hline & W_0 \end{array}\right]e_1 = \lambda_0 e_1,\quad \left[\begin{array}{c|c} J_m(\lambda_0) & \\ \hline & W_0 \end{array}\right]^* e_m = \lambda_0^* e_m.$$

iii. This follows the fact that $J_m(0)^* J_m(0) = diag[0, I_{m-1}]$ since then

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda(A_0-\lambda_0 I)U = \Lambda U\left[\begin{array}{c|c} J_m(0) & \\ \hline & (W_0-\lambda_0 I_{n-m}) \end{array}\right]\\ &= U\left[\begin{array}{c|c} J_m(0)^* & \\ \hline & (W_0-\lambda_0 I_{n-m})^{-1} \end{array}\right]U^{-1}U\left[\begin{array}{c|c} J_m(0) & \\ \hline & (W_0-\lambda_0 I_{n-m}) \end{array}\right]\\ &= U\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \\ & I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

iv. From the definition $u_i = Ue_i$, $1\le i\le m$ it suffices to prove that $U^{-1}\Lambda Ue_m = 0$ and $U^{-1}\Lambda Ue_i = e_{i+1}$, for $1\le i\le m-1$. But this just follows from the definition of Λ and $J_m(0)^*$.

v. From the definition $v_i = \left(U^{-1}\right)^* e_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ it suffices to prove that $U^*\Lambda^* \left(U^{-1}\right)^* e_1 = 0$ and $U^*\Lambda^* \left(U^{-1}\right)^* e_i = e_{i-1}$, for $2 \leq i \leq m$. But this follows from the fact

$$U^*\Lambda^* \left(U^{-1}\right)^* = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} J_m(0) & \\ \hline & \left[\left(W_0 - \lambda_0 I_{n-m}\right)^{-1}\right]^* \end{array}\right].$$

□

Remark A.2. *There is another way to define $v_1, \cdots, v_m$ and Λ without needing to put A_0 into the block representation (A.1). Start with any Jordan basis $u_1, \ldots,$ u_m with generator u_m for A_0 corresponding to λ_0, i.e., $\left(A_0 - \lambda_0 I\right)^i u_m = u_{m-i}$, for $i = 0, \ldots, m-1$. Then there exists unique vectors $v_1, \cdots, v_m \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times 1}$ such that for $U_0 := [u_1|\cdots|u_m]$ and $V_0 := [v_1|\cdots|v_m]$ we have*

$$P_{\lambda_0} = U_0 V_0^*,$$

where $P_{\lambda_0} \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is the eigenprojection of A_0 corresponding to λ_0, i.e., the projection whose range is the algebraic eigenspace of A_0 corresponding to λ_0. Define the matrix $\Lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ as

$$\Lambda := U_0 J_m(0)^* V_0^* - S_0,$$

where $S_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is the reduced resolvent of A_0 at λ_0 [1, §2.6.3 Reduced Resolvants, pp. 74-75, Eq. (6.6)]. The matrix S_0 has the following integral representation

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\lambda_0} \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_0} R(\lambda)\, d\lambda,$$

where $R(\lambda) = \left(\lambda I - A_0\right)^{-1}$ is the resolvent of A_0 and the integral is over any simply closed positively oriented piecewise smooth path on which the resolvent is defined and which its interior contains no other eigenvalue of A_0 except λ_0. If we extend $u_1,$ $\ldots, u_m$ to a basis $u_1, \ldots, u_m, u_{m+1}, \ldots, u_n$ for $\mathbb{C}^{n\times 1}$ and define the matrix $U :=$ $[u_1|\cdots|u_m|u_{m+1}|\cdots|u_n]$ then A_0 would have the block representation (A.1) and we would find that $v_i = \left(U^{-1}\right)^* e_i$ and Λ is equal to the right hand side of (A.2).

Appendix B.

Following [7, §9.32 pg. 414] we define the polynomials $p_{j,i} = p_{j,i}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{j-i+1})$ in $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{j-i+1}$, for $j \geq i \geq 0$, as the expressions

$$p_{0,0} := 1,\ p_{j,0} := 0, \text{ for } j > 0,$$

$$p_{j,i}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{j-i+1}) := \sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_i=j \\ 1\leq s_\varrho \leq j-i+1}} \prod_{\varrho=1}^{i} \lambda_{s_\varrho}, \text{ for } j \geq i > 0,$$

and the polynomials $r_{k+l,k} = r_{k+l,k}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ in $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l$, for $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, as

$$r_{k+1,k}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l) := 0,\ r_{k+l,k}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l) := \sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_k=k+l \\ 1\leq s_\varrho \leq l}} \prod_{\varrho=1}^{k} \lambda_{s_\varrho}, \text{ for } l > 1.$$

Assume that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j z^j$ is a convergent Taylor series.

Proposition B.1. *The polynomials defined above have the following properties:*

(i) $\sum\limits_{j=i}^{\infty} p_{j,i} z^j = \left(\sum\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j z^j\right)^i$, *for* $j \geq i \geq 0$,
(ii) $r_{k+l,k} = p_{k+l,k} - k\lambda_1^{k-1}\lambda_{l+1}$, *for* $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,
(iii) $p_{j,1} = \lambda_j$, *for* $j \geq 1$,
(iv) $p_{j,j} = \lambda_1^j$, *for* $j \geq 0$,
(v) $p_{j+1,j} = j\lambda_1^{j-1}\lambda_2$, *for* $j > 0$, *and*
(vi) $\sum\limits_{q=1}^{j-i+1} \lambda_q p_{j-q,i-1} = \sum\limits_{q=1}^{j-i+1} p_{q,1} p_{j-q,i-1} = p_{j,i}$, *for* $j \geq i > 0$.

Proof. i. For $i = 0$ we have $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j z^j\right)^0 = 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_{j,0} z^j$, and for $i > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j z^j\right)^i &= \sum_{s_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{s_i=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{\varrho=1}^{i} \lambda_{s_\varrho}\right) z^{s_1+\cdots+s_i} \\
&= \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_i=j \\ 1\leq s_\varrho \leq j-i+1}} \prod_{\varrho=1}^{i} \lambda_{s_\varrho} \right) z^j \\
&= \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} p_{j,i} z^j.
\end{aligned}$$

ii. Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by definition of $p_{k+l,k}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{k+l,k} &= \sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_k=k+l \\ 1\leq s_\varrho \leq l+1}} \prod_{\varrho=1}^{k} \lambda_{s_\varrho} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_k=k+l \\ 1\leq s_\varrho \leq l+1 \\ \exists \varrho \in \{1,\ldots,k\} \text{ such that } s_\varrho = l+1}} \prod_{\varrho=1}^{k} \lambda_{s_\varrho} + \sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_k=k+l \\ 1\leq s_\varrho \leq l+1 \\ \nexists \varrho \in \{1,\ldots,k\} \text{ such that } s_\varrho = l+1}} \prod_{\varrho=1}^{k} \lambda_{s_\varrho} \\
&= \sum_{\varrho=1}^{k} \lambda_1^{k-1}\lambda_{l+1} + \sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_k=k+l \\ 1\leq s_\varrho \leq l}} \prod_{\varrho=1}^{k} \lambda_{s_\varrho} \\
&= k\lambda_1^{k-1}\lambda_{l+1} + r_{k+l,k}.
\end{aligned}$$

iii. By the definition of $p_{j,1}$ we have $p_{j,1} = \sum_{\substack{s_1=j \\ 1\leq s_\varrho \leq j}} \prod_{\varrho=1}^{1} \lambda_{s_\varrho} = \lambda_j$, as desired.

iv. By the definition of $p_{j,j}$ we have $p_{0,0} = 1$ for $j = 0$ and for $j > 0$ we have $p_{j,j} = \sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_j=j \\ 1\leq s_\varrho \leq 1}} \prod_{\varrho=1}^{j} \lambda_{s_\varrho} = \prod_{\varrho=1}^{j} \lambda_1 = \lambda_1^j$.

v. By the definition of $p_{j+1,j}$ for $j > 0$ we have

$$p_{j+1,j} = \sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_j=j+1 \\ 1\leq s_\varrho \leq 2}} \prod_{\varrho=1}^{j} \lambda_{s_\varrho} = \sum_{\varrho=1}^{j} \lambda_1^{j-1}\lambda_2 = j\lambda_1^{j-1}\lambda_2.$$

vi. Let $j \geq i > 0$. Then by part (i) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} p_{j,i} z^j &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j z^j\right)^{i-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j z^j\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=i-1}^{\infty} p_{j,i-1} z^j \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_{j,1} z^j \\ &= \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2=j \\ j_1 \geq i-1 \\ j_2 \geq 1}} p_{j_1,i-1} p_{j_2,1} \right) z^j \\ &= \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{j-i+1} p_{j-q,i-1} p_{q,1} \right) z^j\end{aligned}$$

implying together with part iii of this proposition that $p_{j,i} = \sum_{q=1}^{j-i+1} p_{j-q,i-1} p_{q,1} = \sum_{q=1}^{j-i+1} p_{j-q,i-1} \lambda_q$ for $j \geq i > 0$. ☐

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Prof. Alexander Figotin for bringing the subject of this paper to my attention and for all the helpful suggestions and encouragement given in the various stages of writing this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] H. Baumgärtel, *Analytic Perturbation Theory for Matrices and Operators*, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, Switzerland, 1985.

[2] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany 1980.

[3] P. Lancaster and M. Tismenetsky, *Theory of Matrices*, Second Ed., Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1985.

[4] M. Vishik and L. Lyusternik, *Solution of Some Perturbation Problems in the Case of Matrices and Selfadjoint or Non-Selfadjoint Equations*, Russian Math. Surveys, Vol. 15, No. 3 (1960), pp. 1-74.

[5] V. Lidskii, *Perturbation Theory of Non-Conjugate Operators*, U.S.S.R. Comput. Math. and Math. Phys. Vol. 6, No. 1 (1966), pp. 73-85.

[6] J. Moro, J. Burke, and M. Overton, *On the Lidskii-Vishik-Lyusternik Perturbation Theory for Eigenvalues of Matrices with Arbitrary Jordan Structure*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., Vol. 18, No. 4 (1997), pp. 793-817.

[7] M. M. Vainberg and V. A. Trenogin, *Theory of Branching of Solutions of Non-Linear Equations*, Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, Netherlands, 1974.

[8] H. Langer and B. Najman, *Remarks on the Perturbation of Analytic Matrix Functions II*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, Vol. 12, No. 3 (1989), pp. 392-407.

[9] S. Krantz, *Function Theory of Several Complex Variables*, 2nd Ed., Wadsworth, Inc., Belmont, CA, 1992.

[10] I. Ipsen and R. Rehman, *Perturbation Bounds for Determinants and Characteristic Polynomials*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. Vol. 30, No. 2 (2008), pp. 762–776.